Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box + PTO/SB/21 (08-00)  Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  Under the Paperbork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.					
APR 2 7 2001 TRANSMITTAL			Application Num		09/379,851
			Filing Date		August 24, 1999
FORM			First Named Inve	entor	Frank E. Joutras
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Group Art Unit		3764
		Examiner Name		Denise M. Pothier	
Total Number of	f Pages in This Submis	sion 3	Attorney Docket N	Number	558-9-13-1
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)					
Fee Transmittal Form  Fee Attached  XX Amendment / Reply  After Final  Affidavits/declaration(s)  Extension of Time Request  Express Abandonment Request  Information Disclosure Statement  Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)  Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application  Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		Assignment Papers (for an Application)  Drawing(s)  Licensing-related Papers  Petition  Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application  Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence		on X	After Allowance Communication to Group Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information  Status Letter Other Enclosure(5) (please identify below):  a postcard receipt  CELVED  200
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT					
Firm or Individual name	Vincent L. Carney, Attorney for Applicant				
Signature	Variant L. Carry				
Date April 24, 2001					
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING					
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231 on this date:  April 24, 2001					
Typed or printed name Vincent L. Carney					
Signature Vincent L. Carmy Date April 24, 2001					



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Frank E. Joutras, et al. ) Patent Application

Serial No: 09/379,851 ) Group Art Unit: 3764

Filed : August 24, 1999 ) Examiner: Denise M. Pothier

For : EXERCISE APPARATUS ) Date: April 24, 2001

AND TECHNIQUE

## **ELECTION WITH TRAVERSE**

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office action mailed March 27, 2001, in the above identified case, and to confirm a telephone conversation with the Examiner on March 23, 2001, the applicant provisionally elects with traverse the claims of Group I, claims 1-12 drawn to an orthotic apparatus.

The requirement for restriction of invention of the Examiner is respectfully traversed on the ground that the claims covering the apparatus and methods as grouped by the Examiner are so closely related as not to be separate and distinct. The methods and apparatus are both used for the same purpose and, indeed, the methods use the claimed apparatus.

Because the groups of claims are so closely related, the searches are interwoven.

A search for the methods will necessarily encompass a search for the apparatus, since the

same patents that claim such apparatus could very well disclose the methods. Similarly, a search for the methods will necessarily encompass a search for the apparatus, since the patent specification will of necessity disclose both the method and the apparatus. Because the searches are interwoven, it is respectfully submitted that the inventions have not acquired a separate status in the art.

It is respectfully requested, in view of the above comments, that the election of invention be withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,

Vincent L. Carney

Attorney for the Applicant

Reg. No. 20,688 P.O. Box 80836

Lincoln, NE 68501-0836

(402) 465-8808

-Ref: 558-9-13-1